

DUBLIN 2016



HISTIOCYTE
SOCIETY



A multidisciplinary approach to early detect Neurodegenerative Langerhans Cell Histiocytosis and monitor response to intravenous immunoglobulin treatment

Elena Sieni, MD

Department of Pediatric Hematology and Oncology

Meyer Children's University Hospital, Florence, Italy

ND-LCH

- Rare, challenging and enigmatic permanent consequence of LCH.
- Patients may undergo progressive deterioration, refractory to LCH-directed therapies used in the past.
- **Unresolved questions:**
 - natural history
 - standardized diagnostic approach
 - effective therapy

Follow-up of pediatric patients treated by IVIG for Langerhans cell histiocytosis (LCH)-related neurodegenerative CNS disease

Shinsaku Imashuku · Naoto Fujita · Yoko Shioda · Haruyoshi Noma · Shiro Seto ·
Toshinori Minato · Kazuo Sakashita · Nobuhiro Ito · Ryoji Kobayashi ·
Akira Morimoto · Japan LCH Study Group (JLSG)

- 8 patients followed-up for a median time of 11.6 years
- IVIG appeared to be most beneficial when it was administered soon after ND-CNS disease diagnosis when the Expanded Disability Status scores were low.
- The authors proposed that IVIG should be initiated early and continued for >3 years to prevent progression of disease.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Early Diagnosis and Monitoring of Neurodegenerative Langerhans Cell Histiocytosis

Elena Sieni¹*, Carmen Barba², Marzia Mortilla³, Sara Savelli^{3,4}, Laura Grisotto⁵, Gianpiero Di Giacomo², Katuscia Romano², Claudio Fonda³, Annibale Biggeri^{5,6}, Renzo Guerrini², Maurizio Aricò^{1,7}

- Proposal of a novel multidisciplinary protocol of evaluation for patients with LCH, with the main purpose to early identify and monitor patients with ND-LCH
- 27 patients with either ND-LCH verified by MRI or risk factors for ND-LCH (craniofacial bone lesions and/or DI)

- Patients with ND-LCH deserve a targeted structural MRI study for early identification of demyelination.
- SEPs has the highest capability to predict ND-LCH and discriminate its grading.
- SEPs and careful neurological evaluation may represent a valuable, low-cost methodology to monitor patients from pre-symptomatic to symptomatic ND-LCH.
- Wider use of multidisciplinary protocol might allow the selection of patients for early therapeutic intervention.

Aim of the present study

To validate our multidisciplinary diagnostic protocol for:

- **yearly following-up ND-LCH patients**
- **early selecting IVIG-treatment candidates**
- **monitoring treatment response**

Methods: study population

- Since 2010, a **prospective diagnostic neuro-radiological study** for patients with/or at risk for ND-LCH is ongoing at Meyer Children's Hospital, as national referral centre for LCH.
- As part of the protocol, patients with MRI findings of ND-LCH underwent **yearly neurological, neurophysiological and MRI follow-up.**



- **ND-LCH patients with at least one abnormal neurological or neurophysiological evaluation received monthly IVIG 0.5 g/kg.**
- Treatment response to IVIG was evaluated yearly using our multidisciplinary protocol and compared to a control population.
- The lack of at least one complete follow-up evaluation was an exclusion criterion.

Diagnostic protocol

- Targeted MRI with Spectroscopy
 - Grading: score 1 (mild) to 4 (severe)
- Neurological evaluation:
 - Complete neurological examination
 - Scale for the assessment and rating of ataxia (*SARA*)
 - Barthel scale
- Neurophysiological evaluation:
 - Brainstem auditory evoked potentials (BAEPs)
 - Somatosensory evoked potentials (SEPs)

ND-LCH patients, n=20:

Results at first multidisciplinary evaluation

| Abnormal findings | N (%) |
|------------------------|---------|
| MRI grading = 1 | 9 (45) |
| MRI grading > 1 | 11 (55) |
| MRS abnormal | 10 (50) |
| NE abnormal findings | 8 (40) |
| Barthel Index: 100% | 6/8 |
| Barthel Index: 50-80 % | 2/8 |
| SEPs abnormal | 9 (45) |
| BAEPs abnormal | 6 (30) |

ND-LCH

Abnormal NE and/or EP as indication to IVIG

13 patients were candidates because of abnormalities at 1° (n=11) or 2° evaluation



7/13 patients consented treatment

| Features | Treated IVIG+ N=7 | Controls no-IVIG N=6 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Gender | 4 M, 3 F | 4 M, 2 F |
| Median age at study entry (range) | 6.6 y (2.7y - 27.5 y) | 4.1 y (15 m - 22.1 y) |
| Median Follow-Up since ND-LCH dx (range) | 4.8 y (13 m - 6 y) | 3 y (1.9 y - 5.2 y) |
| Median time since IVIG indication (range) | 1.6 y (16 m - 4.4 y) | 2 y (1 y - 5.2 y) |
| Median age at onset of ND-LCH (range) | 5.7 y (2.4 y - 16 y) | 4.5 y (1.2 y - 22 y) |
| Median time interval between onset of LCH -1st MRI ND finding (range) | 3 y (6 m - 13 y) | 2 y (3 m -14.5 y) |
| MS vs. SS | 4 vs. 3 | 5 vs. 1 |
| Reactivating or chronic active LCH | 5 (71%) | 4 (67%) |
| Risk lesions for ND-LCH: DI/ CFBL | 4 / 7 | 3 / 5 |
| Previous CT/ ongoing | 7 | 6 |
| Active disease | 0 | 1 |

IVIIG-treated patients (n=7)

T1, follow-up results

Median time of IVIG therapy: 1.6 y (16 m – 4.4 y)

| PATIENT (#) | MRI findings | MRI grading | MRS | NE (SARA) | SEPs | BAEPs |
|-------------|------------------------------------|-------------|----------|-----------|----------|--------|
| 1 | Cerebellum | 1-stable | stable | stable | stable | stable |
| 2 | Cerebellum sWM brainstem | 2-stable | worsened | stable | stable | stable |
| 4 | Cerebellum sWM | 2-stable | stable | improved | improved | stable |
| 7 | Cerebellum sWM, BG Brainstem | 4-stable | stable | worsened | stable | stable |
| 8 | Cerebellum sWM Brainstem | 4-stable | stable | stable | improved | stable |
| 10 | Cerebellum sWM | 1-stable | stable | improved | stable | stable |
| 15 | Cerebellum BG Brainstem | 4-stable | stable | worsened | stable | stable |

IVIG vs not-IVIG *T1, follow-up results*

| DIAGNOSTIC TOOL | | IVIG +(n=7) N (%) | no-IVIG (n=6) N (%) |
|-------------------------------------------------|----------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| Median FUP time (since IVIG indication) (range) | | 1.6 y (1.3 y – 4.4 y) | 2 y (1 y – 5.2 y) |
| MRI | stable | 7 (100) | 5 (83) |
| | worsened | 0 | 1 (17) |
| | improved | 0 | 0 |
| MRS | worsened | 1 (14) | 2 (33) |
| NE | stable | 3 (44) | 3 (50) |
| | worsened | 2 (28) | 3 (50) |
| | improved | 2 (28) | 0 |
| SEPs | stable | 5 (72) | 4 (66) |
| | worsened | 0 | 2 (33) |
| | improved | 2 (28) | 0 |
| BAEPs | stable | 7 (100) | 4 (66) |
| | worsened | 0 | 2 (33) |
| | improved | 0 | 0 |

Conclusions

- At a median time of 1.6 years after IVIG treatment start, the 5/7 patients who were pauci-symptomatic at baseline either improved or remained stable, while the two patients who were severely impaired worsened.
- In the control (untreated) population, at a median time of 2 years since the indication to IVIG, no patient improved, 3/6 worsened.

Conclusions (2)

- ✓ This multidisciplinary protocol **was effective, standardized, low-cost and reproducible as a diagnostic tool for selecting** patients with early-stage ND-LCH, eligible for IVIG treatment (or potentially other treatments)
- ✓ It is suitable for monitoring ND-LCH patients' **response** to IVIG treatment
- ✓ The potential of IVIG to alter the ND-LCH disease course remains to be fully documented with longer FUP and hopefully larger numbers in additional centers.